PACIFIC HEALTH DIALOG

MARCH 2014 · VOLUME 20 · NUMBER 1

POLICY BRIEF

POLICY BRIEF: Options to reduce Sugar Sweetened Beverage (SSB) consumption in New Zealand

New Zealand Beverage Guidance Panel

PURPOSE

The purpose of this document is to identify key policy recommendations to relevant settings that impact on the availability, marketing. price, and knowledge of SSBs and ultimately the consumption of SSBs in New Zealand, particularly in youth. These recommendations will provide achievable goals to various stakeholders and settings of influence, aiming to reduce SSBs intake. The ideal outcome is that water and milk (unflavoured) become preferred beverage options for New Zealand children and adults. These goals align to the vision articulated by the advocacy group 'FIZZ' to achieve a Sugary Drink Free New Zealand by 2025.^{1,2} This means that SSBs should be only rarely consumed, and comprise less than 5% of total population beverage intake. Addressing SSBs in particular is an important step to addressing New Zealand's obesity epidemic, especially among children.

BACKGROUND

The United States Beverage Guidance Panel (USBGP) was the first of these panels and was established by Barry Popkin, Professor of Nutrition, University of North Carolina in 2006.³ The intention of this panel was to develop guidance to government and community groups to limit the intake of SSBs, which had broad societal support from relevant interest groups. The USBGP panel had the effect of raising the profile of SSB intake to both nutritionists and policymakers. Since then, similar groups have formed in China, Mexico, Spain, the United Kingdom, and this one in New Zealand. These panels are similar to those that were formed in response to the harms of tobacco in the lead-up to the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control.⁴

"The Beverage Guidance Panel was assembled to provide guidance on the relative benefits and risks for health of various categories of drink."

DEFINITION of SSB:

Any beverage that contains added caloric sweetener usually sugar. The main categories of sugary drinks include soft-drinks/fizzy-drinks, sachet mixes, fruit drinks, cordials, flavoured milks, cold teas/coffees, and energy/sports drinks.

WHAT IS THE ISSUE?

SSBs are very popular in New Zealand like many countries around the world. In New Zealand, SSBs are one of the two leading contributors of sugar to the diets of adults and children. ^{5,6} Their consumption is known to cause dental diseases, increase the risk of developing unhealthy weight gain, type-2 diabetes, gout, and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. ⁷⁻¹¹

There is evidence that a reduction in SSB consumption will reduce the likelihood of developing the health conditions identified above and that with policy/regulation, a significant reduction in consumption can be achieved. ^{12,13} Furthermore, policy options are known to be highly cost-effective in terms of public health interventions. ¹⁴

Studies have shown that energy consumed in SSBs are not well compensated for by a reduction in energy consumed in food, meaning they have an additive effect on energy intake. Furthermore, there is a growing body of evidence to show that sugar and SSBs have addictive like characteristics among high consumers. High sugar intake is known to stimulate the same parts of the brain as 'drugs of abuse', and people coming off high sugar diets describe feelings of withdrawal similar to coming off other addictive substances. ¹⁶⁻¹⁹

PACIFIC HEALTH DIALOG

Health effects of SSB consumption

The association between free sugars and dental caries has also been established beyond reasonable doubt.²⁰ Furthermore the impact on dental caries is experienced in very young and vulnerable children. Dietary sugars have been widely accepted as a cause of weight gain and obesity.^{21,22} In addition, dietary sugar particularly that consumed in SSBs, has been associated with:

- cardiovascular disease23,24
- type-2 diabetes25-30
- · raised blood pressure31
- dyslipidaemia32
- gout^{8,33}

Since 2006, there have been 5 systematic reviews of observational studies that have found a positive relationship between SSB consumption, unhealthy weight and related health consequences. ^{21,22,35:37} Two randomised controlled trials conducted with children and adolescents found that masked substitution of SSBs with their sugar free equivalents, resulted in significantly less weight gain and fat accumulation in the sugar free group. ^{12,13}

These SSB-related diseases are major contributors to New Zealand's burden of disease, with cardiovascular disease, for example, accounting for a quarter of New Zealand's disability adjusted life years lost due to illness.³⁸

There is also increasing evidence that demonstrates a likely link between high SSB intake and stroke, cancer, and impaired cognitive development.³⁹⁻⁴¹ The positive relationship between SSB intake and unhealthy weight also indirectly increases the risk of cancer, as adiposity is a significant risk factor for many cancer. In response the increasing evidence that high intake of sugar has on health, the World Health Organisation (WHO) have released a draft guideline that has continued to recommend that free sugars comprise no more than 10% of total energy intake, however, indicated that there are added benefits in achieving a reduction to 5% of total energy intake.⁴²

New Zealand's SSB consumption

The most recent national nutrition surveys show that SSBs contribute 26% of total sugar intake to the diets of children and 17% of total sugar intake to the diets of adults.^{5,6} Furthermore, 29% of children consumed 4 or more SSBs per week and this was markedly higher for boys (33% as opposed to 24% for girls), and Pacific (49%) and Mãori (39%) children.⁴⁴ Scragg et al using the 2002, National Children's Nutrition Survey found a positive relationship between SSB consumption and BMI in children.⁴⁴ Children who drank more than one SSB per day had a significantly higher BMI compared to those children who drank less than one SSB per week (BMI: 19.7 verses 18.8 kg/m2). Findings from the Obesity Prevention in Communities study showed that compared to non-SSB consumers, children who consumed one can of SSB per day had a mean higher weight of 3.3kg, and those who consumed two cans had a higher mean weight of 5.3kg.⁴⁵

Key stakeholders and settings

This document identifies six broad groups of stakeholders and settings that can have a significant influence on SSB consumption. The six stakeholder groups/settings include: i) government, ii) whanau, and community/workplace groups, iii) school and early childhood education (ECE) communities, iv) health professionals, v) industry, vi) advocacy and non-governmental

organisations.

1. Government (Local & National)

Leadership and action from governments, in terms of programs and policies, to promote and create healthier food environments are urgently required. A number of plausible policy options that the government can consider to influence the SSB environment include pricing options, restrictions, regulation and policies that impact onavailability and access as well as marketing, sponsorship and the media. Strong Government leadership, both local and national, is vital to foster meaningful change in this area.

NZ specific activity

An example of strong government leadership in this area saw the inclusion of a clause into the National Administration Guidelines by the then Minister of Education. The clause required that 'only healthy foods be sold in schools'. ⁴⁶ This clause was introduced in 2007 and saw the elimination of SSBs from school canteens and school premises. This clause was revoked in 2009. ⁴⁶

RECOMMENDATIONS: GOVERNMENT

- Introduce a 20% excise tax on SSBs with funding used for health promotion.⁴⁷
- Strengthen the National Administration Guidelines that schools only provide foods and beverages which meet the dietary guidelines.
- Implement effective social marketing campaigns that support healthy beverage choices and discourage unhealthy beverage choices.
- Implement effective restrictions of marketing to children of unhealthy foods and beverages, including SSBs.

2. WHANAU AND COMMUNITY/ WORKPLACE GROUPS

Community groups such as sports clubs, churches, social clubs, and community centres/hubs are in positions where they may be able to encourage healthier beverage intake of their respective membership, and develop or adopt healthy beverage policies/guidelines themselves.

NZ Specific activity

Networks of churches in some regions have developed strong relationships with their respective District Health Board (DHB) and are sites for much health promotional activity. Many member churches have adopted nutrition policies that may address SSBs within them, and some have a specific policy on SSBs.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰

RECOMMENDATIONS: WHANAU AND COMMUNITY/ WORKPLACE GROUPS

- Develop/adopt organisational healthy beverage policy, making them SSB free;
- Work with local DHB, Public Health services and NGOs to tailor education and awareness approaches on healthy eating and drinking for organisations eg Marae.
- For organisations which receive sponsorship from a beverage company, ensure that it is only related to a sugar-free product, and that any marketing related to this sponsorship strongly promote the sugar-free aspect of the agreement/deal.

MARCH 2014 · VOLUME 20 · NUMBER 1

3. SCHOOLS AND ECE COMMUNITIES

Children are the highest consumers of SSBs therefore schools and ECEs are important organisations that can promote healthy beverage consumption. Schools may address SSB consumption in a number of ways including implementing their own SSB policy to restrict what beverages are available on school grounds and providing guidelines on whether vending machines have any place in schools. Schools can educate children and parents on the amount of sugar in SSBs, the health implications and alternative options to SSBs. Schools can actively promote healthier drink options using promotional material on school grounds.

NZ Specific activity

The 'Beverage Guidelines Project', led by Waitemata District Health Board (WDHB) in collaboration with a number of organisations including the Auckland Regional Public Health Service, the National Heart Foundation, and more than twenty schools, was launched in 2005. It informed and encouraged schools to replace SSBs withhealthier beverages options. In one school alone, this project saw 125kg of sugar per week (or greater than1 tonne per term) being removed from the school canteen in the form of SSBs. ⁵¹ Project Energize is another current example that educates primary school children about nutrition and SSBs in the Waikato region. ^{52,53}

RECOMMENDATIONS: SCHOOLS

& ECE COMMUNITIES

- ECE centres adopt a policy to ensure SSBs are not consumed in the setting.
- Boards of Trustees ensure that 'healthy food and beverage guidelines' are implemented in their schools whether the guidelines are mandatory or not.
- Professional organisations (i.e. PPTA (Post Primary Teachers' Association), NZEI (New Zealand Educational Institute) support the implementation of 'healthy food and beverage guidelines' in schools.
- Strengthen curriculum and education about SSBs.
- Schools and ECEs ensure that a sufficient number of quality water fountains are available 'at arm's reach' on school grounds and promoted by school to students.

4. HEALTH PROFESSIONALS

In the health sector a number of organisations have an obvious affinity to the vision of eliminating SSB intake. These include but are not limited to the Ministry of Health, DHBs, Hospitals, primary care organisations generally, Public Health Units, and local councils.

NZ specific activity

Some DHBs have provided leadership in working with other sectors including Industry and Education to bring about action on SSBs. These initiatives include Project Energize with Waikato DHB, the Sprite Zero substitution with Counties Manukau DHB, and the 'Beverage Guidelines Project' with Waitemata DHB and local schools. 51-54

RECOMMENDATIONS: HEALTH PROFESSIONALS

Identify SSBs as a priority for action by actively asking,

- assessing, and advising clients on the issues related of SSB intake and health.
- Ensure SSBs are not be sold on health care premises and display/provide educational recourses as to why.
- Provide leadership on this issue and actively facilitate collaboration with other secttings (i.e. schools,community and industry) to develop solutions.

5. industry

Relevant industry players that have influence on consumption of SSBs include: the beverage industry (soft-drink and water producers), supermarkets, retailers, and fast food/hospitality. Meaningful engagement with industry may facilitate progress in promoting sugar free beverage choices.

NZ specific activity

In 2006, as part of the 'Let's Beat Diabetes' programme – led by Counties Manukau DHB a trial was undertaken with McDonalds and Coca-Cola would replace the beverage Sprite with its sugar free version Sprite Zero for a 26 week period in all 21 McDonald restaurants in the area. A 17% reduction of SSBs consumption occurred; and importantly, the change did not prompt negative consumer feedback or impact business viability. Such positive outcomes saw Sprite Zero become the default lemonade served in all their respective restaurants throughout New Zealand.⁵⁴

RECOMMENDATIONS: INDUSTRY

- Beverage companies promote the sugar-free beverages as their flagship products with the aim of these sugar-free products being the majority share of beverage sales.
- Supermarkets and retail chains in stocking, placing, and promoting beverages in the store, favour sugar-free beverages over SSBs.
- Fast food chains make the majority of their beverages sugar free and make these the default beverage option, as well as actively promoting their sugar-free beverages over the SSBs.

6. Advocacy and nongovernment organisations

The harmful consequences SSBs have on health are not well known by many parts of society. A common strategic direction and message issued by advocacy groups that work in this area is likely to be useful in giving a stronger combined voice with a clear call to action. Advocacy groups that may be sympathetic to this health issue include groups concerned with: obesity, poverty, youth, nutrition, Mãori and Pacific health, oral health, cancer, diabetes, youth education, cardiovascular disease risk factors, and gout. Promotion of healthier beverages/alternatives (tap water and milk) need to accompany any messages that restrict options. Many non-government organisations also work in this area including the National Heart Foundation, Diabetes NZ, the NZ Dental Association, Sport NZ, regional sports trusts, Mãori and Pacific health providers.

NZ Specific activity

In August 2013 an advocacy organisation solely devoted to SSBs called FIZZ which stands for Fighting Sugar in Soft-drinks was established which is

100 PACIFIC HEALTH DIALOG MARCH 2014 · VOLUME 20 · NUMBER 1

modelled off another very successful advocacy organisation ASH - Action on Smoking and Health.1 FIZZ aims to ensure SSBs become a health priority for action by recruiting political support, engaging with community, leading solutions based research, and responding to any threats that are contrary to realising the vision of a sugary drink free New Zealand by 2025. Other advocacy groups are important to this issue including FOE (Fight the Obesity Epidemic), Parents Voice, Public Health Association, Health Promotion Forum, and Child Poverty Action

Group. Furthermore, in 2013, the Health Promotion Agency identified reducing SSB consumption as one of their key programme focus areas to address in their new strategic objectives.⁵⁵

RECOMMENDATIONS: ADVOCACY AND NON-GOVERNMENT ORGANISATIONS

- Support this six point policy brief.
- Champion key aspects of this document.

THE NEW ZEALAND BEVERAGE GUIDANCE PANEL:

Dr Gerhard Sundborn,

Professor Boyd Swinburn,

Warren Lindberg,

Professor Jim Mann.

Professor Cliona Ni Mhurchu,

Dr Rob Beaglehole,

Dain Guttenbeil,

Dr Lisa Te Morenga,

Professor Elaine Rush, Dr Robyn Toomath, Hereni Marshall, Mafi Funaki-Tahifote. Jo Fitzpatrick,

Margie Fepuleai, Dr Colin Tukuitonga.

ORGANISATION SUPPORT or ENDORSEMENT*

























TOI TANGATA°





















References

- Sundborn G, Thornley S, Jackson R. FIZZ: a new advocacy group to Fight Sugar in Soft-drinks? NZ Med J. 2013; Vol 126 No 1374.
- Sundborn G, Thornley S, Jackson R. Coke's anti-obesity campaign: a FIZZ or not? NZ Med J. 2013; Vol 126 No 1379.
- **3.** Popkin BM, Armstrong LE, Bray GM, Caballero B, Frei B, and Willett WC. A new proposed guidance system for beverage consumption in the Unites States. Am J Clin Nutr 2006; 83:529–42.
- **4.** WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Retrieved November 7, 2013, from: http://www.who.int/fctc/en/
- **5.** Ministry of Health. 2003. NZ Food NZ Children: Key results of the 2002 National Children's Nutrition Survey. Wellington: Ministry of Health.
- **6.** University of Otago and Ministry of Health. 2011. A Focus on Nutrition: Key findings of the 2008/09 New Zealand Adult Nutrition Survey. Wellington: Ministry of Health.
- Malik VZ, Popkin BM, Bray GA, Despres J-P, Willet WC, Hu FB. Sugar sweetened beverages and risk of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2010; 33: 2477-83.
- **8.** Choi HK, Curhan G. Soft drinks, fructose consumption, and the risk of gout in men: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2008; 336: 309–12.
- **9.** Malik VS, Schulze MB, Hu FB. (2006) Intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain: a systematic review. Am J Clin Nutr, 84: 274 88.
- Mishra MB, Mishra S. Sugar-Sweetened Beverages: General and Oral Health Hazards in Children and Adolescents. International Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry. 2011: 4(2):119-123.
- 11. Ouyang X, Cirillo P, Sautin Y, McCall S, Bruchette JL, Diehl AM, Johnson RJ, Abdelmalek MF. Fructose consumption as a risk factor for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Journal of Hepatology 48 (2008) 993–999.
- 12. de Ruyter JC, Olthof MR, Seidell JC, & Katan MB (2012). A Trial of Sugar-free or Sugar-Sweetened Beverages and Body Weight in Children. The New England journal of medicine PMID: 22998340
- 13. Ebbeling CB, Feldman HA, Chomitz VR, Antonelli TA, Gortmaker SL, Osganian SK, & Ludwig DS (2012). A Randomized Trial of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages and Adolescent Body Weight. The New England journal of medicine PMID: 22998339
- 14. Gortmaker SL, Swinburn BA, Levy D, Carter R, Mabry PL, Finegood DT, Huang T, Marsh T, Moodie ML. Changinging the future of obesity: science, policy, and action. 2011. The Lancet, Volume 378, Issue 9793, Pages 838 847.
- **15.** Mourao DM, Bressan J, Campbell WW, Mattes RD. (2007) Effects of food form on appetite and energy intake in lean and obese young adults. Int J Obes (Lond). 2007; 31: 1688-95.
- **16.** Avena NM, Rada P, Hoebel BG. (2009) Sugar and Fat Bingeing Have Notable Differences in Addictive-like Behavior. J Nutr 2009: 139(3):623-628.
- 17. Rada P, Avena NM, Hoebel BG. (2005) Daily bingeing on sugar repeatedly releases dopamine in the accumbens shell. Neuroscience 2005;134(3):737-744.
- **18.** Thornley S, McRobbie H. (2009) Carbohydrate withdrawal: is recognition the first step to recovery? N Z Med J 2009; 122(1290):133-134.
- **19.** McRobbie H, Hajek P. (2004) Effect of glucose on tobacco withdrawal symptoms in recent quitters using bupropion or nicotine replacement. Human Psychopharmacology 2004; 19(1):57-61.
- **20.** Moynihan P, Kelly S. Effect on Caries of Restricting Sugars Intake Systematic Review to Inform WHO

- Guidelines. J Dent Res. 2014; 93:8-18.
- 21. Te Morenga L, Mallard S, Mann J. Dietary sugars and body weight: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials and cohort studies. BMJ 2013;346:e7492
- **22.** Malik VS, Pan A, Willett WC, Hu FB. Sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain in children and adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2013; 98:1084-102.
- **23.** de Koning L, Malik VS, Kellogg MD, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB. Sweetened beverage consumption, incident coronary heart disease, and biomarkers of risk in men. Circulation. 2012; 125:1735-41, S1.
- 24. Yang Q, Zhang Z, Gregg EW, Flanders W, Merritt R, Hu FB. Added sugar intake and cardiovascular diseases mortality among US adults. JAMA Internal Medicine. 2014.
- **25.** Bantle JP. Dietary Fructose and Metabolic Syndrome and Diabetes. J Nutr. 2009; 139:S1263-S8.
- **26.** Janket SJ, Manson JE, Sesso H, Buring JE, Liu SM. A prospective study of sugar intake and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. Diabetes Care. 2003; 26:1008-15.
- **27.** Johnson RJ, Perez-Pozo SE, Sautin YY, et al. Hypothesis: Could Excessive Fructose Intake and Uric Acid Cause Type 2 Diabetes? Endocr Rev. 2009; 30:96-116.
- **28.** Johnson RJ, Segal MS, Sautin Y, et al. Potential role of sugar (fructose) in the epidemic of hypertension, obesity and the metabolic syndrome, diabetes, kidney disease, and cardiovascular disease. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007: 86:899-906.
- **29.** Laville M, Nazare JA. Diabetes, insulin resistance and sugars. Obes Rev. 2009; 10:24-33.
- **30.** Montonen J, Jarvinen R, Knekt P, Heliovaara M, Reunanen A. Consumption of sweetened beverages and intakes of fructose and glucose predict type 2 diabetes occurrence. J Nutr. 2007; 137:1447-54.
- **31.** Cohen L, Curhan G, Forman J. Association of Sweetened Beverage Intake with Incident Hypertension. J Gen Intern Med. 2012; 27:1127-34.
- **32.** Welsh JA, Sharma A, Abramson JL, Vaccarino V, Gillespie C, Vos MB. Caloric Sweetener Consumption and Dyslipidemia Among US Adults. JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association. 2010: 303:1490-7.
- **33.** Batt C, Phipps-Green AJ, Black MA, et al. Sugar-sweetened beverage consumption: a risk factor for prevalent gout with SLC2A9 genotype-specific effects on serum urate and risk of gout. Ann Rheum Dis. 2013:annrheumdis-2013-203600.
- **34.** Merriman TR, Dalbeth N, Stamp LK, Merriman ME, Topless R, Gow PJ, et al. (2011) Association Between Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Consumption and Gout in the New Zealand Population. Arthritis Rheum 2011;63 Suppl 10:1622 DOI:
- **35.** Woodward-Lopez G, Kao J, Ritchie L. (2010) To what extent have sweetened beverages contributed to the obesity epidemic? Public Health Nutr, 1-11.
- **36.** Malik VS, Schulze MB, Hu FB. (2006) Intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain: a systematic review. Am J Clin Nutr, 84: 274 88.
- **37.** Vartanian LR, Schwartz MB, Brownell KD. (2007) Effects of Soft Drink Consumption on Nutrition and Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Am J Public Hlth, 97/4: 667 675.
- **38.** Ministry of Health. Report on New Zealand Costof-Illness Studies on Long-Term Conditions. Wellington: Ministry of Health, 2009.
- **39.** Schernhammer ES, Hu FB, Giovannucci E, Michaud DS, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Fuchs CS. Sugar-sweetened soft drink consumption and risk of pancreatic cancer in two prospective cohorts. Cancer Epidemiol

- Biomarkers Prev. 2005 Sep; 14(9):2098-105.
- **40.** Larsson SC, Akesson A, Wolk A. Sweetened beverage consumption is associated with increased risk of stroke in women and men. (2014) Journal of Nutrition. Jun;144(6):856-60.
- **41.** Nyaradi A, Li J, Hickling S, Whitehouse AJ, Foster JK, Oddy WH. Diet in the early years of life influences cognitive outcomes at ten years: a prospective cohort study. 2013. Acta Paediatr. doi: 10.1111/apa.12363.
- **42.** WHO, Draft guidelines on free sugars released for public consultation, 5 March 2014, who.int/nutrition/en
- 43. Sundborn G, Utter J, Tasileta T, Metcalf P, Jackson R. 2013. Fizzy-drink (carbonated beverages or soda) consumption among New Zealand youth and associations with BMI and waist circumference. (In Press)
- **44.** Scragg R, Wilson N, Schaaf D, et al. (2004) Risk factors for obesity in New Zealand children aged 5 14 years: results from the 2002 national Children's Nutrition Survey. Australasian Epidemiologist, 11: 23 24.
- **45.** Utter J, Scragg R, Ni Mhurchu C, Schaaf D. (2007) What effect do attempts to lose weight have on the observed relationship between nutrition behaviours and body mass index among adolescents? International Journal of Behaviorial Nutrition and Physical Activity. 4: 40.
- **46.** Gorton D. (2009) Removal of the requirement for schools to only sell healthy food a giant leap backwards. NZ Med J. Vol 122 no 1290.
- **47.** Briggs ADM, Mytton OT, Kehlbacher A, Tiffin R, Rayner M, Scarborough P. Overall and income specific effect on prevalence of overweight and obesity of 20% sugar sweetened drink tax in UK: econometric and comparative risk assessment modelling study. 2013. BMJ, 347:f6189 doi: 10.1136/bmj.f6189.
- **48.** Counties Manukau District Health Board. (2012) Lotu Moui programme. http://www.cmdhb.org.nz/funded-services/pacific-health/lotumoui.htm
- **49.** Waitemata District Health Board. (2012) Enua Ola programme. http://www.waitematadhb.govt.nz/
- **50.** Auckland District Health Board. (2012) Healthy Village Action Zones (HVAZ) programme.
- 51. Waitemata District Health Board. (2006) Healthlines Newsletter. Issue 134. http://www.waitematadhb.govt.nz/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=fOHhkc2l-NUE%3D&tabid=175&mid=581
- **52.** Rush E, Obolonkin V, McLennan S, Graham D, Harris J, Mernagh J, et al. Lifetime cost effectiveness of a through-school nutrition and physical programme: Project Energize. Obesity Research and Clinical Practice. 2013;http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.orcp.2013.03.005
- **53.** Rush E, Reed P, McLennan S, Coppinger T, Simmons D, Graham D. A school-based obesity control programme: Project Energize. Two-year outcomes. The British journal of nutrition. 2012 Feb;107(4):581-7. PubMed PMID: 21733268. Epub 2011/07/08. eng.
- **54.** Errol Kiong. (2007) McDonald's takes sprite off the menu. New Zealand Herald. http://www.nzherald.co.nz/nz/news/article.cfm?c_id=1&objectid=10423293
- **55.** Whiting R. 2013, July 29. Communication with G. Sundborn [e-mail]. HPA consultation with Pacific reference group.

102 PACIFIC HEALTH DIALOG MARCH 2014 · VOLUME 20 · NUMBER 1